hepth@xxx/9201055

Addendum to
Combinatorics of the Modular Group II
The Kontsevich Integrals

hepth@xxx/9201001

C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber

arXiv:hep-th/9201055v1 27 Jan 1992

At the end of the paper, after equation (7.18), replace "From this system . . . in sec. 5 and 6 . . ." by

The resulting equation may be expanded for large λ_j and the coefficients of successive inverse powers, expressed as differential operators in the variables $\theta_k = \frac{1}{k}\sum_i \lambda_i^{-k}$, set equal to zero. For our purpose, it is sufficient to consider the two leading terms of order λ^{-2} and λ^{-3}. Surprisingly, the coefficient of the latter depends on the variables θ_{3n}, of which Z itself is independent, *v.i.z.*

$$\text{l.h.s. of (7.17)} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}L_{-1}Z + \frac{1}{\lambda^3}\left(-W_{-2} - 2\sum_{n\geq 1} n\theta_{3n}L_{n-2}\right)Z + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^4}\right) \ . \tag{7.19}$$

As the notation suggests, the coefficients are generators of the W_3 algebra. Their explicit form reads

$$\begin{aligned} L_n = {} & \frac{1}{3}\sum (k-3n)\theta_{k-3n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k} + \frac{1}{6}\sum_{k+l=3n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_l} \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{3n+4}} + \frac{2}{3}\theta_1\theta_2\delta_{n,-1} + \frac{1}{9}\delta_{n,0} \qquad n \geq -1 \end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_{-2} = {} & \frac{1}{9}\sum\left((k+l+6)\theta_{k+l+6}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_l} + kl\theta_k\theta_l\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{k+l-6}}\right) \\ & -\frac{1}{3}\sum(k+2)\theta_{k+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} - \frac{1}{6}\theta_1^2 + \frac{4}{9}\theta_1^2\theta_4 + \frac{8}{27}\theta_2^3 \end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

where it is understood that terms where the subscript of a θ is negative or a multiple of 3 are absent. By the commutation relations of the W_3 algebra, $[L_n, W_{-2}] = 2(n+1)W_{n-2}$, one constructs

$$W_n = \frac{1}{9}\sum\left((k+l-3n)\theta_{k+l-3n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_l} + kl\theta_k\theta_l\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{k+l+3n}}\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{3}\sum(k-3n-4)\theta_{k-3n-4}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}+\frac{1}{27}\sum_{j+k+l=3n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_l}\\
&-\frac{1}{6}\sum_{k+l=3n+4}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_l}+\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_{3n+8}} \qquad (7.22)\\
&+\left(\frac{4}{9}\theta_1^2\theta_4+\frac{8}{27}\theta_2^3-\frac{1}{6}\theta_1^2\right)\delta_{n,-2}+\frac{1}{27}\theta_1^3\delta_{n,-1}\ , \qquad n\geq -2\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

Up to a shift of the variable θ_4 by $-3/8$, these expressions coincide with those given by Goeree [16]. A similar calculation can presumably be carried out in the general case of W_p, where one would study an expansion of the generalized matrix Airy equation, keeping terms of order $\lambda^{-2}, \lambda^{-3}, \cdots, \lambda^{-p}$.

Returning to eq. (7.19), the equations $L_n Z = W_m Z = 0$, $(n+1, m+2 \geq 0$, or rather the generating set $\{-1 \leq n \leq 2, m = -2\})$, determine $Z = e^F$. Alternatively one may use the leading term $L_{-1} Z = 0$ (the so-called string equation) and the KdV$_3$ hierarchy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_s} &= [Q_+^{\frac{s}{3}}, Q]\\
Q &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1}\right)^3+\frac{3}{2}\left\{u_1,\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1}\right\}+3u_2\\
u_1 &= \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\theta_1^2} \qquad u_2=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\theta_1\partial\theta_2}\ . \qquad (7.23)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally it is worth pointing out the relation between the θ-variables of the matrix model and those used by Witten [8] in the topological context. For the case $p = 3$ (W_3 algebra) he introduces variables $t_{n,m}$ where $n \geq 0$ and $m = 0, 1$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{n,m} &= \frac{(3n+m+1)!!!}{\rho^{3n+m+1}}\left(\frac{3^{\frac{1}{2}}}{i}\right)^{n+m}\theta_{3n+m+1}\\
\rho^4 &= \frac{3^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2i} \qquad (7.24)\\
(3n+m+1)!!! &\equiv (3n+m+1)(3(n-1)+m+1)\cdots(3+m+1)(m+1)\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

The factors i disappear from the final expressions. Indeed there is a topological constraint expressing that in F the only non-vanishing terms $\prod_k t_{n_k,m_k}$ satisfy

$$8(g-1) = \sum_k [(3n_k + m_k + 1) - 4] \qquad (7.25)$$

with g the corresponding genus. Hence such a term translates into

$$\prod_k t_{n_k,m_k} = (-12)^{g-1}\frac{(-2)^{\Sigma_k 1}}{(-3)^{\Sigma_k n_k}}\prod_k (3n_k+m_k+1)!!!\,\theta_{3n_k+m_k+1}\ . \qquad (7.26)$$

For instance the leading part of F restricted to genus 0 and to the subspace where only θ_1, θ_2 are non-vanishing (the "small phase space") reads

$$f = \frac{2}{3}\theta_1^2\theta_2 - \frac{8}{27}\theta_2^4 = \frac{t_{0,1}^2 t_{0,2}}{2} + \frac{t_{0,2}^4}{3.4!} \ . \tag{7.27}$$

Further generalization of Kontsevich integrals to other hierarchies of integrable flows (D or E series) remains a challenging problem.

We have received recently two papers that overlap with this work and complement our presentation [17][18].

Additional references

[16] J. Goeree, *W constraints in 2-D quantum gravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B358** (1991) 737-757.

[17] R. Dijkgraaf, *Intersection theory, integrable hierarchies and topological field theory*, Princeton preprint IASSNS-HEP-91/91, hepth@xxx/9201003.

[18] S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and A. Zabrodin, *Towards unified theory of 2d gravity*, ITEP-Lebedev Institute preprint FIAN/TD-10/91, hepth@xxx/9201013.